1	{YOUR INFO HERE}	
2	Superior Court of California	
3	For the County of {YOUR COURT}	
4		C N COURCAGE NUMBER
5	{JDB HERE},	Case No.: {YOUR CASE NUMBER}
6	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO DEFOLIEST FOR SPECIAL
7	vs.) REQUEST FOR SPECIAL) INTERROGATORIES SET NO.1
8	{YOUR NAME HERE},))
9	Defendant)
10		
11	Responding Party: DEFENDANT	
12	{YOUR NAME HERE}	
13		
14	REQUEST FOR SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES SET NO.1	
15 16	Requesting party: PLANTIFF	
17	{JDB NAME HERE}	
18		
19	[YOUR NAME HERE], the defendant in the above cause, responds as follows to the first set of Requests for Special Interrogatories of plaintiff, Midland Funding LLC:	
20	NO. 1: I listed the addresses I lived at.	
21	NO. 3: OBJECTION The information sought is improper as it goes toward post judgment	
22	remedies, which are provided for in the rules of procedure. Any attempt to contact any alleged employer of the defendant is strictly forbidden and will be met with a countersuit if attempted.	
23		
24	NO. 4: OBJECTION Argumentative and lacking in foundation. Specifically that any such billing statements were received.	
25 26	NO. 5: OBJECTION Argumentative, lacking in foundation, and compounded.	
	NO. 6: OBJECTION Calls for legal impressions of counsel, and attorney client work product.	
27 28	Defendant's "facts" will be adequately detailed in the answer to the plaintiff's baseless complaint. Defendant is not required to refute the plaintiff's claims; the plaintiff is required to prove them.	

Verification I, {YOUR NAME HERE}, am defendant in the above cause of action. I have read the first Set of Requests for Special Interrogatories propounded to me by plaintiff, Midland Funding LLC, and my response to the request. I am familiar with the contents of both. Based on my knowledge, the responses to plaintiff's requests are true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. {DATE} **{YOUR NAME HERE}** In Pro Per